Local Work Group Development of Local EQIP.

_District FY09 EQIP
ds, townships, etc.) and their eive priority: heds
oncerns to be addressed with f 3 categories of the highest funding. argaret watersheds would

All land in Cass County would receive high priority for erosion control.

All land in Cass County would receive high priority for applying grazing systems.

All land in Cass County would receive high priority for improving forest management.

4. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.

Water resources- will practices installed in Leech lake, Pine River, Gull lake, and Lake Margaret watersheds improve water quality?

Soil erosion – are practices installed in Cass County that will reduce soil loss to "T"?

Grazing Management – are practices installed in Cass County to improve grazing management and reduce impact on water quality?

Forest Management – are practices installed in Cass County to improve forest management and reduce impact on water quality?

5. Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.

Water resources – 20 pts

Soil Erosion – 10 pts

Grazing Systems – 20 pts

Forest Management - 10 pts

- 6. Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.
- 7. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Dave Harder Chairman 11/26/2008

Chair, Local Work Group Date